

Foliar application of nitrogen, potassium and magnesium, and pineapple yield and quality^{1,2}

Antonio Vélez-Ramos³ and Jorge Borges⁴

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on a Bayamón soil to evaluate the effect of foliar applications of nitrogen, potassium and magnesium on the yield and quality of pineapple cultivar Red Spanish. The application of 392 kg/ha of K and 224 kg/ha of Mg resulted in a significant increase in fruit yield over the lowest K-Mg application rate (224 kg/ha of K and no Mg) in the plant crop. Increasing N from 224 to 392 and to 784 kg/ha did not significantly increase fruit yield but reduced brix and acidity and increased pH of the fruit. A significant increase of 6,572 kg/ha of pineapple fruits was obtained in the ratoon crop with the application of 520 kg/ha of K and 74 kg/ha of Mg. Nitrogen levels had no significant effect on pineapple fruit yield and quality in the ratoon crop. The average length and width of the fruit and core diameter increased significantly as magnesium applications increased. Nitrogen and potassium content of the D-leaf of 4-month-old plants was adequate, but rather low in 10-month-old plants, regardless of N-K treatment. In the ratoon (22- and 26-month) leaf nitrogen was adequate, but potassium was low. Potassium treatments had little effect on leaf potassium content. Calcium and magnesium content ran low throughout the crop cycle, especially in the ratoon crop.

Key words: *Ananas comosus*, foliar fertilization, pineapple yield, pineapple quality

RESUMEN

Efecto de aplicaciones foliares de nitrógeno, potasio y magnesio sobre el rendimiento y la calidad de la piña

Se estableció un experimento de campo en un suelo de la serie Bayamón para evaluar el efecto de niveles de nitrógeno, potasio y magnesio en aplicaciones foliares sobre el rendimiento y la calidad de la fruta de piña (*Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr.) cultivar Española Roja. La combinación de 392 kg/ha de K y 224 kg/ha de Mg resultó en un aumento significativo de 8,349 kg/ha de frutas al compararlo con la aplicación de 224 kg/ha de K y cero Mg en la cosecha de plantilla. El incrementar el nitrógeno de 224 a 392 y 784 kg/ha no aumentó el rendimiento de frutas en forma significativa, pero redujo el brix y la acidez y aumentó el pH de la fruta. La aplicación de 520 kg/ha de K y 74 kg/ha de Mg produjo un aumento significativo de 6,572 kg/ha de frutas

¹Manuscript submitted to Editorial Board 5 February 1992.

²Thanks are expressed to the Pineapple Program of the Land Authority of P.R., especially to the members of the Research Division for their kind assistance and collaboration during the study.

³Agronomist, Department of Agronomy and Soils.

⁴Director, Research Division, Pineapple Program, Land Authority of P.R., Department of Agriculture.

en la cosecha de retoño. En el retoño, el aumento en el nivel de nitrógeno no tuvo efecto significativo en el rendimiento y la calidad de la fruta. El largo y ancho promedio de la fruta y el diámetro del corazón aumentaron significativamente al aumentar el nivel de nitrógeno. El contenido de nitrógeno y potasio en la hoja-D fue adecuado en plantas de cuatro meses de edad pero fue bajo para plantas de 10 meses de edad, independientemente de la cantidad de nitrógeno y potasio aplicada. En el ciclo de retoño (22 y 26 meses) el contenido de nitrógeno en la hoja fue adecuado pero el contenido de potasio fue bajo. Los niveles de potasio aplicados no tuvieron efecto significativo sobre el contenido de potasio en la hoja. El contenido de calcio y magnesio en la hoja se mantuvo bajo a través del estudio, especialmente en las plantas más viejas (retoño).

INTRODUCTION

According to Cooke (1949), "Anyone can grow pineapples successfully on virgin land, but it requires real knowledge to plant pineapples repeatedly and successfully on the same piece of land." Pineapple demands large quantities of soil nutrients, especially nitrogen and potassium for optimum yields. Not only the relative amount of a specific nutrient is important, but also the ratio of selected nutrients. Thus, an increase in nitrogen availability may result in an increase in the level of potassium in the tissues and consequently immobilization (Marchal et al., 1970). The absorption of magnesium is influenced by both nitrogen and potassium content in the soil (Siders and Young, 1945; 1946). According to Molinier (quoted in Py et al., 1987), magnesium fertilizers are often applied in Hawaii in enough quantity to

TABLE 1.—*Fertilizer treatments.*

| Plant Crop | | | Ratoon | | | Plant and Ratoon Combined | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----|--------|------------------|-----|---------------------------|------------------|-----|
| N | K ₂ O | MgO | N | K ₂ O | MgO | N | K ₂ O | MgO |
| ----- kg/ha ----- | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | 224 | 0 | 57 | 75 | 0 | 281 | 299 | 0 |
| | | 56 | | | 37 | | | 93 |
| | | 112 | | | 74 | | | 186 |
| | | 224 | | | 148 | | | 372 |
| 392 | 392 | 0 | 200 | 220 | 0 | 592 | 612 | 0 |
| | | 56 | | | 37 | | | 93 |
| | | 112 | | | 74 | | | 186 |
| | | 224 | | | 148 | | | 372 |
| 784 | 784 | 0 | 483 | 520 | 0 | 1267 | 1304 | 0 |
| | | 56 | | | 37 | | | 93 |
| | | 112 | | | 74 | | | 186 |
| | | 224 | | | 148 | | | 372 |

ensure a K:Mg ratio of 5:1. Well documented information is available on the influence of cations K, Ca and Mg on pineapple fruit yield (Martin-Prevel, 1961a), on fruit quality (Martin-Prevel, 1961b) and on the mineral content of the D-leaf (Martin-Prevel, 1961c).

In Puerto Rico, Samuels et al. (1955; 1958), González-Tejera (1975) and González-Tejera et al. (1974) studied the effect of levels and sources of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers on pineapple fruit yield and quality. Hernández-Medina (1961; 1964) reported beneficial effects of magnesium on pineapple growth and production. These field trials were with side-dressed fertilizer applications and low planting densities. Current production practices in Puerto Rico include high plant populations (more than 60,000 plants per hectare) and multiple foliar fertilizer sprays. There is no information available on pineapple fertilizer requirements under these production practices. The present investigation was undertaken in order to gather information toward this end.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted in cooperation with the Pineapple Program of the Land Authority of Puerto Rico on Palo Alto farm. The soil was classified as Bayamón (Typic Haplorthox, clayey, oxidic, isohyperthermic). It had been planted to pineapple for more than 50 consecutive years. Laboratory soil analyses indicated low exchangeable K, Ca and Mg, and a pH of 4.3.

For the plant crop, the experimental design used was a randomized complete block with three replications. The treatments were analyzed in split-plots with nitrogen levels (224, 392 and 784 kg/ha) assigned to the main plots, and the 12 factorial combinations of K-Mg to the sub-plots (Table 1). Potassium was applied at rates of 224, 392 and 784 kg/ha as K_2O . Magnesium was applied at 0, 56, 112 and 224 kg/ha as MgO . The main plots contained 12 continuous sub-plots consisting of four double rows 71 cm apart, 6.1 m long with 51 cm between rows. Plant distance within the row was 30 cm, thus making a stand of 51,000 plants per hectare. There were 1.53-m alleys between sub-plots. Slips of Red Spanish cultivar were planted in December 1987.

All plots received a pre-planting fertilizer application consisting of 1,120 kg/ha of a formula 12-6-10 equivalent to 134, 67 and 112 kg/ha of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O , respectively. Ten monthly foliar applications of urea, K_2SO_4 and $MgSO_4$ were made to supply additional N, K_2O and MgO according to the fertilizer treatment differentials in the plant and ratoon crops. Foliar treatment applications were made with a 20 L Knapsack sprayer. In the ratoon crop the foliar sprays were reduced to six

TABLE 2.—Effect of N, K and Mg levels on pineapple fruit yield.

| K ₂ O | MgO | Plant crop | | | Ratoon | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----|------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|
| | | Fertilizer treatment | | | Fertilizer treatment | | | | | | |
| | | Nitrogen level (kg/ha) | Mean | K ₂ O | MgO | 57 | 200 | 483 | Mean | | |
| 224 | 0 | 43,542 | 46,610 | 34,504 | 41,552 b ¹ | 75 | 0 | 34,453 | 40,196 | 32,554 | 37,734 d |
| 224 | 56 | 39,708 | 52,543 | 44,503 | 45,885 ab | 75 | 37 | 34,561 | 41,447 | 38,024 | 38,011 abcd |
| 224 | 112 | 46,261 | 53,345 | 47,508 | 49,038 ab | 75 | 74 | 39,457 | 37,911 | 32,868 | 36,712 bcd |
| 224 | 224 | 44,979 | 50,968 | 47,062 | 47,670 ab | 75 | 148 | 36,213 | 36,230 | 33,444 | 35,296 cd |
| 392 | 0 | 45,315 | 48,711 | 44,177 | 46,068 ab | 220 | 0 | 30,268 | 44,586 | 36,616 | 37,157 bcd |
| 392 | 56 | 49,907 | 47,278 | 45,427 | 47,537 ab | 220 | 37 | 41,230 | 42,657 | 44,086 | 42,657 ab |
| 392 | 112 | 43,818 | 41,032 | 42,426 | 42,426 ab | 200 | 74 | 38,121 | 40,903 | 36,802 | 38,609 abcd |
| 392 | 224 | 43,333 | 58,887 | 47,482 | 49,901 a | 200 | 148 | 36,382 | 48,735 | 33,134 | 39,417 abc |
| 784 | 0 | 46,355 | 48,416 | 39,685 | 44,819 ab | 520 | 0 | 42,201 | 35,485 | 30,470 | 36,052 bcd |
| 784 | 56 | 46,943 | 42,060 | 47,555 | 45,519 ab | 520 | 37 | 38,324 | 33,670 | 41,660 | 37,885 abcd |
| 784 | 112 | 48,028 | 50,013 | 48,101 | 48,714 ab | 520 | 74 | 41,671 | 47,490 | 43,758 | 44,306 a |
| 784 | 224 | 42,814 | 51,618 | 54,888 | 49,773 a | 520 | 148 | 40,251 | 42,410 | 38,319 | 40,327 abc |
| Mean | | 45,080 | 49,290 | 45,276 | 46,550 | Mean | 37,761 | 40,968 | 36,811 | 38,514 | |

¹Within columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.

TABLE 3.—*Effect of treatments on pineapple fruit quality.*

| Plant crop | | | | Ratoon | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------|----------------|---------|------|-----|
| Fertilizer | pH | Brix | Acidity | Fertilizer ¹ | pH | Brix | Acidity | | |
| kg/ha | | Meq./ 100ml | | kg/ha | | Meq./ 100ml | | | |
| <i>Nitrogen levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | 3.89 b ² | 16.3 a | 463 a ² | 281 | 3.71 | 15.8 | 522 | | |
| 392 | 4.05 a | 15.9 ab | 401 ab | 592 | 3.71 | 15.9 | 537 | | |
| 784 | 4.02 a | 15.8 b | 381 b | 1267 | 3.71 | 15.2 | 594 | | |
| <i>K₂O and MgO levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| K ₂ O | MgO | | | K ₂ O | MgO | | | | |
| 224 | 0 | 4.06 | 16.1 | 374 c ² | 299 | 0 | 3.66 | 15.8 | 553 |
| 224 | 56 | 4.02 | 16.0 | 396 bc | 299 | 93 | 3.71 | 15.2 | 546 |
| 224 | 112 | 4.03 | 15.8 | 397 bc | 299 | 136 | 3.67 | 15.9 | 533 |
| 224 | 224 | 3.98 | 16.0 | 410 abc | 299 | 372 | 3.70 | 16.0 | 561 |
| 392 | 0 | 3.99 | 15.9 | 398 bc | 612 | 0 | 3.67 | 15.7 | 565 |
| 392 | 56 | 3.95 | 16.0 | 436 abc | 612 | 93 | 3.73 | 15.5 | 549 |
| 392 | 112 | 3.95 | 16.1 | 412 abc | 612 | 136 | 3.69 | 15.7 | 528 |
| 392 | 224 | 3.91 | 15.7 | 461 a | 612 | 372 | 3.72 | 15.4 | 553 |
| 784 | 0 | 3.97 | 16.0 | 422 abc | 1304 | 0 | 3.73 | 15.7 | 549 |
| 784 | 56 | 3.97 | 16.0 | 422 abc | 1304 | 0 | 3.73 | 15.7 | 567 |
| 784 | 112 | 3.96 | 15.9 | 445 ab | 1304 | 93 | 3.73 | 15.7 | 569 |
| 784 | 224 | 4.02 | 16.2 | 429 abc | 1304 | 372 | 3.73 | 15.9 | 540 |

¹Total fertilizer applied for plant and ratoon crops.²Within fertilizer and columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.

monthly applications and the total N, K and Mg applied was reduced proportionally.

D-leaf samples were collected at 4, 10, 22 and 26 months after planting and analyzed for N, K, Ca and Mg content in the ash. The plant crop was harvested from June to July in 1989 and the ratoon crop from July to August in 1990. Fruit yield per plot was recorded. A sample of pineapple fruits from each plot was collected and analyzed for the pH, brix and total acidity of the juice. Fruit length and width and fruit core diameter were measured.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Increasing the level of nitrogen fertilization had no effect on fruit yield of the plant crop (Table 2). Mean fruit yield was 45,080 kg/ha

TABLE 4.—*Effect of treatments on fruit characteristics.*

| Fertilizer kg/ha | Plant crop | | | | Ratoon | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | Length | Width | Core | | Fertilizer ^a kg/ha | Length | Width | Core | |
| | ----- cm ----- | ----- cm ----- | ----- cm ----- | | ----- cm ----- | ----- cm ----- | ----- cm ----- | ----- cm ----- | |
| <i>Nitrogen levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | 12.3 b ^b | 11.7 b | 1.79 b ^b | | 281 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 1.41 | |
| 392 | 13.3 a | 15.9 a | 1.84 ab | | 592 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 1.43 | |
| 784 | 13.2 a | 15.8 a | 1.86 a | | 1267 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 1.43 | |
| <i>K₂O and MgO levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| K ₂ O | MgO | | | | K ₂ O | MgO | | | |
| 224 | 0 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 1.85 | 299 | 0 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 1.36 c ^c |
| 224 | 56 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 1.83 | 299 | 93 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 1.41 abc |
| 224 | 112 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 1.91 | 299 | 136 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 1.42 abc |
| 224 | 224 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 1.79 | 299 | 372 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 1.40 abc |
| 392 | 0 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 1.89 | 612 | 0 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 1.39 bc |
| 392 | 56 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 1.91 | 612 | 93 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 1.46 ab |
| 392 | 112 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 1.90 | 612 | 136 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 1.42 abc |
| 392 | 224 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 1.51 | 612 | 372 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 1.42 abc |
| 784 | 0 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 1.89 | 1304 | 0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 1.43 abc |
| 784 | 56 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 1.90 | 1304 | 93 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 1.46 ab |
| 784 | 112 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 1.89 | 1304 | 136 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 1.49 a |
| 784 | 224 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 1.89 | 1304 | 372 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 1.41 abc |

^aTotal fertilizer applied for plant and ratoon crops.^bWithin fertilizer and columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.

when 224 kg/ha of N was applied; 49,290 kg/ha when 392 kg/ha of N was applied; and 45,276 kg/ha when 784 kg/ha of N was applied. The highest fruit yield (49,901 kg/ha) was obtained with the treatment combination of 392 kg/ha of K and 224 kg/ha of Mg but was only significantly higher than the yield obtained with 224 kg/ha of K and no Mg. The mean yield of these plots was 41,552 kg/ha, a difference of 8,349 kg/ha of fruit.

No significant response to nitrogen increments was observed in the ratoon crop. The yield obtained with the application of 520 kg/ha of K and 74 kg/ha of Mg (44,306 kg/ha) was significantly higher than the yield of other K-Mg treatments where no Mg was applied.

The lack of a more pronounced effect from N and K fertilizers on pineapple yield in this study may be explained on the basis of an unbalanced application of the two nutrients resulting in a higher supply of

one nutrient and too little of the other. The antagonistic effect on the absorption and assimilation of NH_4^+ and K ions is well documented (Teiwes and Gruneberg, 1963).

Table 3 presents the effect of the treatments on pineapple fruit quality. In the plant crop, the fruit juice pH increased with a nitrogen increment up to 392 kg/ha, but brix and total acidity were significantly reduced. In general, the higher fruit acidity was associated with the upper levels of K and Mg, all of which is in agreement with previous findings (Py et al., 1987). Potassium and magnesium treatments had no significant effect on fruit pH or brix. There was no effect of the treatments on fruit quality of the ratoon.

Table 4 shows the effect of N, K and Mg fertilizers on the size and core of the fruit. In the plant crop, increasing N from 224 to 392 kg/ha significantly increased the length and width of the fruit. However, K and Mg application had no significant effect on these parameters. It is generally agreed that N fertilizer in pineapple is associated with fruit size, whereas K and Mg are associated with fruit quality (Py et al.,

TABLE 5.—*D-leaf composition in the plant and the ratoon crops.*

| Fertilizer | 4-month-old plant crop | | | | 10-month-old plant crop | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | N | K | Ca | Mg | N | K | Ca | Mg | |
| kg/ha | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Nitrogen levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | 1.55 | 3.11 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.95 | 2.29 | 0.15 | 0.15 | |
| 392 | 1.88 | 3.00 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 1.29 | 2.39 | 0.11 | 0.16 | |
| 784 | 2.17 | 3.11 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 1.37 | 2.32 | 0.10 | 0.15 | |
| <i>K_2O and MgO levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| K_2O | MgO | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | 0 | 1.83 | 3.07 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 1.31 | 2.44 | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| 224 | 56 | 1.83 | 3.00 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 1.25 | 2.27 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| 224 | 112 | 1.83 | 3.18 | 1.18 | 0.16 | 1.25 | 2.23 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| 224 | 224 | 1.76 | 2.85 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 1.18 | 2.26 | 0.12 | 0.17 |
| 392 | 0 | 1.88 | 3.05 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 1.25 | 2.32 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| 392 | 56 | 1.93 | 3.07 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 1.20 | 2.27 | 0.14 | 0.17 |
| 392 | 112 | 1.86 | 3.14 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 1.17 | 2.27 | 0.10 | 0.15 |
| 392 | 224 | 1.81 | 3.18 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 1.12 | 2.33 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| 784 | 0 | 1.92 | 2.96 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 1.14 | 2.41 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| 784 | 56 | 1.91 | 2.92 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.19 | 2.43 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| 784 | 112 | 2.03 | 3.30 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 1.20 | 2.37 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| 784 | 224 | 1.84 | 3.17 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.22 | 2.39 | 0.11 | 0.16 |

TABLE 5.—*D-leaf composition in the plant and the ratoon crops. (Cont.)*

| Fertilizer | 22-month-old plant crop | | | | 26-month-old plant crop | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | N | K | Ca | Mg | N | K | Ca | Mg | |
| kg/ha | -----%----- | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Nitrogen levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 281 | 1.59 | 2.63 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 1.11 | 2.25 | 0.12 | 0.14 | |
| 592 | 1.55 | 2.49 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.23 | 2.31 | 0.16 | 0.15 | |
| 1267 | 1.89 | 2.50 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 1.60 | 2.29 | 0.15 | 0.14 | |
| <i>K₂O and MgO levels</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| K ₂ O | MgO | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | 0 | 1.70 | 2.55 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 1.03 | 2.26 | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| 299 | 93 | 1.71 | 2.49 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.32 | 2.19 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| 299 | 186 | 1.66 | 2.42 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 1.28 | 2.16 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 299 | 372 | 1.61 | 2.43 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 2.17 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
| 612 | 0 | 1.65 | 2.73 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 1.31 | 2.19 | 0.13 | 0.18 |
| 612 | 93 | 1.79 | 2.65 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 1.29 | 2.32 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| 612 | 186 | 1.67 | 2.51 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 1.30 | 2.29 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| 612 | 372 | 1.66 | 2.54 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 1.30 | 2.20 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| 1304 | 0 | 1.75 | 2.65 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 1.29 | 2.44 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 1304 | 93 | 1.63 | 2.70 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 1.34 | 2.35 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| 1304 | 186 | 1.62 | 2.63 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 1.39 | 2.34 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| 1304 | 372 | 1.65 | 2.51 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 1.30 | 2.46 | 0.14 | 0.15 |

1987). No significant effect on fruit size was observed in the ratoon crop.

Pineapple D-leaf composition for both the plant and ratoon crops is summarized in Table 5. The N and K content for 4-month-old plants was adequate. Nitrogen content increased as N application increased. Leaf Ca and Mg content was low in both young and old pineapple plants regardless of treatment. Potassium and magnesium absorption was not associated with the application of K and Mg fertilizer. This finding is difficult to explain on the basis of ion availability or ion antagonism effect.

On the basis of the results obtained in this experiment it would appear that 300 kg/ha of N and 600 kg/ha of K₂O are required for a crop cycle of a plant and a ratoon crop of pineapple under the conditions prevailing at the study site. A definite response to Mg application was observed. On the other hand, on the basis of the D-leaf content of N, K and Mg, a responsible recommendation could not be made. More research is needed on levels of foliar applications of N, K and Mg before final recommendations can be made.

LITERATURE CITED

- Cooke, P. C., 1949. The pineapple industry of the Hawaiian Islands. Dept. Fed. Malaya Johore Bahru.
- González-Tejera, E., 1975. Effect of differential levels of N, K and Mg fertilizers on the fruit yield and quality of *Ananas comosus* L. (Merr.) var. PR1-67. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. (Trop. Region) 19:147-58.
- González-Tejera, E., H. R. Cibes y H. Gandia, 1974. El uso de abono nitrogenado y potásico con aplicaciones únicas y múltiples y su efecto en el crecimiento y la calidad de la piña (*Ananas comosus* L. Merr.) Var. PR1-67. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. (Región Tropical). 18:104-15.
- Hernández-Medina, E., 1964. Magnesium an important nutrient in pineapple production in a Bayamón sandy clay. *J. Agric. Univ. P.R.* 48(1):17-24.
- Hernández-Medina, E., 1961. Pineapple response to magnesium in Puerto Rico. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. (Trop. Region) 5:70-5.
- Marchal, J., P. Martin-Prevel, J. J. Laccoeilhe et P. Lossois, 1970. Recherche d'un équilibre K/N dans la production de l'ananas frais au Cameroun. 11. Analyses foliaires. *Fruits* 25:87-95.
- Martin-Prevel, P., 1961a. Potassium, calcium et magnesium dans la production de l'ananas en Guinéé. I. Plan et déroulement de l'étude. *Fruits* 16:49-56.
- Martin-Prevel, P., 1961b. Potassium, calcium et magnesium dans la nutrition de l'ananas en Guinéé. II. Influence sur le rendement commercialisable. *Fruits* 16:113-23.
- Martin-Prevel, P., 1961c. Potassium, calcium et magnésium dans la nutrition de l'ananas en Guinéé. IV. Etude de la croissance foliaire. *Fruits* 16:341-51.
- Py, C., J. J. Lacoeuilhe and C. Teisson, 1987. The Pineapple: Cultivation and Uses. Editions G. P. Maisonneuve and Larose, Paris. pp. 188-91.
- Samuels, G., S. Alers-Alers and G. Jackson, 1958. Influence of fertilizers on yields of pineapple on a Coto clay. *J. Agric. Univ. P.R.* 42(1):12-26.
- Samuels, G., P. Landrau and R. Olivencia, 1955. Response of pineapple to the application of fertilizers. *J. Agric. Univ. P.R.* 39(1):1-11.
- Siders, C. P. and H. Y. Young, 1946. Effects of nitrogen on growth and ash constituents of *Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr. *Plant Physiol.* 21:247-70.
- Siders, C. P. and H. Y. Young, 1945. Effects of different amounts of potassium on growth and ash constituents of *Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr. *Plant Physiol.* 20:609-30.
- Teiwes, G. and F. Gruneberg, 1963. Science and practice in the manuring of pineapples. Green Bulletin 3.