## Characteristic (Discriminant Function) Indices of Chironja, Orange and Grapefruit<sup>1</sup>

C. G. Moscoso and B. G. Capó<sup>2</sup>

#### INTRODUCTION

Since the time chironja was discovered and described by Moscoso in 1956 (2,3,4) scientists have shown interest in determining whether this citrus fruit may be considered genetically and somatically different from the orange and the grapefruit. A study of the morphological features of chironja, orange and grapefruit is reported herein.

Chironja is a new type of citrus fruit. It is juicy and sweet in flavor. It is high in vitamin C and low in acid content. It is a versatile fruit which may be utilized for juice, or cut in half and eaten with a spoon like a grapefruit. It peels easily and its sections can be eaten fresh like a tangerine, or it can be processed for canning.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

Samples of 100 fruits each of chironja, orange and grapefruit were taken from chironja seedling trees and from orange and grapefruit trees of undetermined varieties at the Corozal Substation. Several and diverse leaf, flower, fruit and juice characters of these three types of citrus fruits were measured, as follows:

Leaves: Length and width of blade and length of petiole and wing.

Flowers: Length and width of bud, petal, style, stamen, stigma and calyx, and length of peduncle and number of oil glands per petal.

Fruits: Length and diameter of fruit, sections and seeds, thickness of skin, number of seeds, weight of fruit and diameter of collar.

Juice: Brix, pH, juice yield, solids, acid ratio and content of vitamin C and citric acid.

The mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variability were calculated for each of the characters measured of each type of fruit.<sup>3</sup> Also, as described later, four discriminant functions were evaluated, each of which included only several leaf, flower, fruit or juice characters. These discriminant functions were developed by applying procedures developed by the junior author.

<sup>1</sup> Manuscript submitted to Editorial Board March 1, 1972.

<sup>3</sup> Assistant Director and Technical Consultant, respectively, Agricultural Experiment Station, Mayagüez Campus, University of Puerto Rico, Río Piedras, P.R.

<sup>8</sup> The word "fruit" as used here refers either to the orange (*Citrus sinensis*), the grapefruit (*C. paradisi*) or the chironja.

## 66 JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE OF UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

Fisher (1) suggested the use of a discriminant function to classify an individual into one of a number of alternative and mutually exclusive groups by taking measurements of several of its characters into consideration. In effect, the suggested procedure consists in estimating the parameters of an equation which would be used to calculate a characteristic index for any individual on the basis of characters, as well as the mean characteristic index for each such group. On the assumption that the characteristic indices are normally distributed, the probability of any individual belonging to one of the groups may then be calculated on the basis of its characteristic index. The parameters of the equation to be used for the evaluation of the characteristic indices are estimated so that the equation maximizes the differences between the mean characteristic indices of the groups, thus being useful to discriminate between the groups. This equation is known, therefore, as a discriminant function.

For the estimation of the parameters of a discriminant function, there are no "observed" characteristic indices of the individuals, the data consisting solely of the measurements of their characters. This difficulty, however, is conveniently circumvented by using arbitrary but different initial values as "observed" characteristic indices for the various groups and fitting the discriminant function to the data by iteration. However, to reduce the number of approximations required for this fit, the following procedure may be conveniently used to estimate the initial characteristic index values:

1. Calculate both the group means and the general mean for each of the characters to be included in the functions. This is illustrated in the following tabulation in which it is assumed that two characters have been measured in each of nine individuals belonging to three different groups as follows: three to Group N, four to Group T, and two to Group C. The measurements of character A are shown in the column headed by  $X_A$  and the measurements of character B are shown in the column headed by  $X_B$ . The groups means are 4, 5 and 3 for character A; and 7, 14 and 10 for character B. The general means of these characters are 4.2 and 10.8.

Motolo and ...

10iais and	a means of "speci	es''
Species	Observations on	characters A and B
N	XA	XB
$N_1$	3	8
$N_2$	4	6
$N_{8}$	5	7
	-	—
Total	12	21
Mean	4	7

Species	Observations on characters A and B		
N	XA	XB	
T			
$T_1$	4	10	
$T_2$	4	15	
$T_3$	5	13	
$T_4$	7	18	
Total	20	56	
Mean	5	14	
С			
$C_1$	4	7	
$C_2$	2	13	
	-		
Total	6	20	
Mean	3	10	
Grand total	38	97	
General mean	4.2	10.8	

Totals and means of "species"-Continued

2. Calculate for each group the ratios of the mean values of the various characters to the corresponding general character means and calculate the mean of these ratios. In the following tabulation, the above-mentioned ratios for Group N are 4/4.2 and 7/10.8, and the mean of these ratios, as indicated, is 0.80. For Groups T and C the ratio means are 1.24 and 0.81.

### Ratios and ratio means

Species		Ratio mean
N	$\frac{4}{4.2} + \frac{7}{10.8} = 1.60; \frac{1.60}{2}$	$\frac{0}{2} = 0.80$
T	$\frac{5}{4.2} + \frac{14}{10.8} = 2.48; \frac{2.48}{2}$	$\frac{8}{2} = 1.24$
C	$\frac{3}{4.2} + \frac{10}{10.8} = 1.63; \frac{1.63}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2} = 0.81$

3. Calculate the mean of the ratio means and the algebraic deviation of each group mean from this general mean. In the tabulation, the general mean is 0.95, and the deviations from this mean of the group ratio means are -0.15, 0.29 and -0.14. These deviations are the values to be used tentatively as characteristic values for the 3 groups respectively.

Tentative characteristic indices

Mean of ratio means:

$$\frac{0.80 + 1.24 + 0.81}{3} = 0.95$$

Deviations of ratio means from the mean of the ratio means Species

N	0.80	-	0.95	=	-0.15
T	1.24	-	0.95	•	0.29
C	0.81	-	0.95	-	-0.14

The parameters of the discriminant function may now be estimated by iteration as follows:

1. Write the "observation" equations by using as "observed" value of the dependent variable of each individual the tentative characteristic index of its group. The discriminant function to be fitted to the data is linear:

$$M + AX_A + BX_B = Y$$

Because there are nine individuals, there are nine observation equations as follows:

Species			Equ	alions		
N	M +	3A	+	8 <i>B</i>	1	-0.15
	M +	<b>4</b> <i>A</i>	+	6 <b>B</b>	=	-0.15
	M +	5A	+	7 <i>B</i>	=	-0.15
T	м +	<b>4</b> <i>A</i>	+	10 <i>B</i>	-	0.29
	M +	<b>4</b> <i>A</i>	+	15B		0.29
	M +	5A	+	13B	=	0.29
	M +	7 <b>A</b>	+	18 <i>B</i>	I	0.29
C	M +	<b>4</b> <i>A</i>	+	7 <i>B</i>	=	-0.14
	M +	2A	4	13B	=	-0.14

Estimate the values of parameters M, A and B in these equations by the method of least squares. The estimates of the parameters in this first approximation are:

M = -0.5078, A = 0.0444, and B = 0.0338

The first approximation to the discriminant function is, therefore:

 $Y = -0.5078 + 0.0444 X_{A} + 0.0338 X_{B}$ 

2. Calculate the characteristic index for each individual by substituting in the first approximation to the discriminant function the observed values of its characters. The characteristic index for the first individual of species N is thus:

-0.5078 + 0.0444(3) + 0.0338(8) = -0.1042

The characteristic indices evaluated for all the individuals and the corresponding species means are as follows:

Individuals	Characteristic indices
$N_1$	-0.1042
$N_2$	-0.1274
$N_3$	-0.0492
Total	
TOTAL	-0.2808
Mean	-0.0936
$T_1$	0.0078
$T_2$	0.1768
$T_3$	0.1536
T.	0.4114
-	
Total	0.7496
Mean	0.1874
$C_1$	-0.0936
$C_2$	0.0204
Total	-0.0732
Mean	-0.0366

3. Rewrite the observation equations by using for each individual of each group the species mean of the corrected characteristic indices of the individuals of its groups. The new observation equations for the three individuals of Group N are thus:

M + 3A + 8B = -0.0936M + 4A + 6B = -0.0936M + 5A + 7B = -0.0936

The value of the dependent variable to be used in each of the four equations of the individuals of species T is now 0.1874, instead of 0.29 as used formerly, and for the two individuals of species C, -0.0366 instead of -0.14.

Solve again these equations for M, A and B, continue this process until there are no appreciable changes in the values of the characteristic indices of the three species. In this case, the final discriminant function is:

 $Y = -0.2861 + 0.0204X_A + 0.0226X_B$ 

## 70 JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE OF UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

The final characteristic indices of the nine individuals may be evaluated as indicated above by making use of the final discriminant function and an analysis of variance may be carried out of these final characteristic indices. The analysis of variance table is as follows:

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Estimate o variance
Total	6	0.0944	
Species	2	0.0591	0.0295
Error	4	0.0353	0.0088

The total sum of squares has only 6 degrees of freedom since the evaluation of the characteristic indices of the nine individuals required the evaluation of three constants: M, A, and B. The error variance may be used to cal-

TABLE 1.—Characteristic indices which, on the basis of leaf characters, correspond to orange, chironja and grapefruit

#### A. Index equation

 $Y = -3.2790 - 0.0008X_{\rm A} + 0.0435X_{\rm B} + 0.0531X_{\rm C} - 0.0006X_{\rm D}$ 

Verichler	Means			
variables	Orange	Chironja	Grapefruit	
A = Length of leaf	105.96	141.91	118.88	
$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Width} \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{leaf}$	51.77	76.53	60.50	
C = Length of petiole	9.29	15.77	14.81	
D = Length of wings	96.59	126.14	104.26	
Indices	-0.6772	0.6968	-0.0196	
(	C. Analysis of va	riance		
Origin	DF	SS	Variance	
Total	295	153.4265		
Types of citrus	2	94.4593	47.2296	
Error	293	58.9672	0.2012	
	D. Test of signifi	cance		
Comparison	Standard diff	error of the erence	t value	
Orange-chironja	0.0634		21.65**	
Orange-grapefruit	urban I	0634	10.36**	
Chironja-grapefruit	.0634		11.29**	

B. Variables, mean values and indices

\*\* Difference significant at the 1-percent probability level.

culate the probability of any individual belonging to any of the 3 groups on the basis of the difference between its characteristic index, evaluated as indicated above, and the mean characteristic index of the group for which the probability referred to is to be calculated.

**TABLE 2.**—Characteristic indices which, on the basis of flower characters, correspond to orange, chironja and grapefruit

```
A. Index equation
```

#### $Y = -1.8689 - 0.0646X_{\rm A} + 0.080X_{\rm B} + 0.1691X_{\rm C} - 0.0116X_{\rm D}$

Variables	Means			
variables	Orange	Chironja	Grapefruit	
A = Length of bud	16.44	17.99	14.17	
B = Length of petal	19.42	21.33	13.56	
C = Length of stamen	13.46	16.97	9.83	
D = Number of oil glands per petal	er 69.83	57.04	79.68	
Indices	0.0847	0.8806	-0.9653	
С.	Analysis of var	riance		
Origin	DF	SS	Variance	
Total	295	226.7748		
Types of citrus	2	171.4287	85.7143	
Error	293	55.3461	0.1888	
D.	. Test of signifi	cance		
Comparison	Standard diffe	error of the rence	t Value	
Orange-chironja	0.0	)614	12.94**	
Orange-grapefruit	.(	614	17.08**	
Chironja-grapefruit	.(	0614	30.03**	

B. Variables, mean values and indices

\*\* Difference significant at the 1-percent probability level.

#### RESULTS

The procedure to fit the discriminant function as described above was applied to the group of characters of chironja, grapefruit, and orange previously mentioned. Measurements were taken of the leaves, flowers, fruits and juice characters. The discriminant functions fitted to the data and the corresponding mean characteristic indices of the three fruits and their corresponding statistical comparisons are presented in tables 1 to 4.

#### LEAF CHARACTERS

N 4 44

As shown in table 1, the characteristic indices of chironja, grapefruit and orange estimated from the length and width of the leaves and from the length of the petioles and wings are 0.6968, -0.0196 and -0.6772, which show highly significant differences between any pair of them. The

## **TABLE 3.**—Characteristic indices which, on the basis of fruit characters, correspond to orange, chironja, and grapefruit

#### A. Index equation

 $Y = -4.5302 + 0.0435X_{\rm A} + 0.0065X_{\rm B} + 0.0255X_{\rm O} - 0.0024X_{\rm D}$ 

Voriables	Means				
variables	Orange	Chironja	Grapefruit		
A = Length of fruit	66.76	107.32	90.66		
$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Length} \text{ of segment}$	60.94	85.08	75.25		
C = Number of seeds	4.50	17.35	5.41		
D = Diameter of collar	10.29	16.63	3.41		
Indices	-1.1364	1.0993	0.0371		
C.	Analysis of va	riance	<u></u>		
Origin	DF	SS	Variance		
Total	295	273.9252			
Types of citrus	2	250.1239	125.0619		
Error	293	23.8013	0.0812		
D	. Test of signif	icance			
Comparison	Standard diff	error of the erence	t Value		
Orange-chironja	0.0403		55.46**		
Orange-grapefruit	•	0403	29.11**		
Chironja-grapefruit	•	0403	26.35**		

B. Variables, mean values and indices

**\*\*** Difference significant at the 1-percent probability level.

leaf chracteristic indices may be used, therefore, to differentiate between these three fruits (table 1, fig. 1).

Figure 1 shows the frequency distribution of the individual characteristic indices for the three fruits indicating that those corresponding to chironja are the highest. This index differentiates more effectively between chironja and orange.

#### FLOWER CHARACTERS

The characteristic indices of the three fruits based on flower characters are presented in table 2. These characters are the length of the buds, petals, and stamens, and the number of oil glands per petal. The respective estimated indices for chironja, grapefruit and orange are 0.8806, -0.9653 and

# **TABLE 4.**—Characteristic indices which, on the basis of juice characters correspond to orange, chironja and grapefruit

#### A. Index equation

#### $Y = 3.7474 + 0.0055X_{\rm A} - 0.0135X_{\rm B} + 0.0008X_{\rm C} - 1.3711X_{\rm D}$

Verichler	Means			
variables	Orange Chironja		Grapefruit	
A = Juice weight	129.23	208.92	260.68	
B = Percent of juice	57.69	47.46	51.36	
C = Citric-acid content	1,002.49	520.72	1,307.26	
D = pH	3.61	3.95	3.13	
Indices	-0.472	4 -0.7512	1.2236	
C	. Analysis of v	ariance		
Origin	DF	SS	Variance	
Total	295	261.6631		
Types of citrus	2	228.4202	114.2101	
Error	293	33.2429	0.1134	
1	D. Test of sign	ficance	<u></u>	
Comparison	Standar d	d error of the fference	/ Value	
Orange-chironja	0.0476		5.85**	
Orange-grapefruit		.0476	35.60**	
Chironja-grapefruit	.0476		41.45**	

#### B. Variables, mean values and indices

\*\* Difference significant at the 1-percent probability level.

0.0847 (table 2). Again, there are highly statistical differences between any two pairs of these mean flower characteristic indices, indicating that they can also be used to differentiate between the three fruits (table 2, fig. 2). The frequency distribution of the individual flower characteristic indices are presented in figure 2, showing again that those of chironja are the highest. This index is particularly useful to differentiate between chironja and grapefruit.

#### FRUIT CHARACTERS

Table 3 presents the mean characteristic indices of chironja, grapefruit and orange based on fruit characters. The fruit characters used were length of fruit, length of segment, number of seeds and diameter of collar. The frequency distributions of the individual fruit characteristic indices are presented in figure 3 (table 3, fig. 3).







FIG. 2.—Frequency distribution of the characteristic indices of the flowers.

The characteristic indices based on the above-mentioned fruit characters were greatest for chironja, and greater for grapefruit than for orange. As may be seen in figure 3, this index discriminates with high precision between the three fruits.

#### JUICE CHARACTERS

Table 4 presents the characteristic indices based on juice characters for the three fruits species. The juice characters used to calculate the indices were weight of juice per fruit, percentage content by weight of juice in



FIG. 3.—Frequency distribution of the characteristic indices of the fruits.

fruit, content of citric acid per 100 mls. of juice and pH of juice (table 4, fig. 4).

This index can also be used to discriminate between the three fruits, especially to differentiate between grapefruit and either chironja or orange. Figure 4 presents the individual characteristic indices for grapefruit, orange and chironja juice.

#### DISCUSSION

The characteristic indices estimated from the four groups of characters discriminated at the 1-percent level of statistical significance between the 100-fruit samples of each of these fruits. For the identification of a single fruit, however, the most useful index seems to be the one based on the fruit characters, as seen in figure 3. In those instances in which the value of this index may be relatively low (from -0.8 to -0.4) or relatively high (from 0.2 to 0.4), the addition of the index based on juice characters would permit an almost fool-proof identification of the fruit in question.

#### SUMMARY

Statistical comparisons of samples of chironja, orange and grapefruit were made of the characters of the leaves, flowers, fruits, and juice. The



FIG. 4.—Frequency distribution of the characteristic indices of the juices.

comparisons demonstrated that these fruits differ with regard to the above-mentioned characters when the latter are combined to provide certain quality indices.

The four types of indices discriminated at the 1-percent level of statistical significance when comparing 100-fruit samples of each of the three fruits with each other.

It is suggested that in identifying a single fruit in accordance with the described procedure, the characteristic index based on fruit characters be used first. However, if the result of this test is not conclusive, the addition of the characteristic index based on juice characters will aid in the identification of a single fruit with a high degree of accuracy.

#### RESUMEN

Se hicieron comparaciones estadísticas de los caracteres de las hojas, flores, frutas y jugos en muestras de chironja, naranja (china) y toronja. Estas comparaciones demostraron que los caracteres de las frutas arriba mencionados discriminan entre sí cuando se combinan para estimar ciertos índices característicos.

Todos los tipos de índices discriminaron significativamente al nivel estadístico del 1 por ciento en muestras de 100 frutas de cada una de las tres clases.

Se sugiere que para identificar una sola fruta mediante el procedimiento ya descrito, se use primero el índice característico basado en los caracteres de la fruta. No obstante, cuando los resultados de tal comparación no son conclusivos, la adición del índice basado en los caracteres del jugo ayudará a la identificación de una sola fruta con un alto grado de precisión.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Fisher, R. A., The Use of Multiple Measurements in Taxonomic Problems, Ann. Eugen. 7: 179-88, 1936.
- Moscoso, C. G., The Puerto Rican Chironja—New All-Purpose Citrus Fruit, Econ. Bot., 12: 87-94, 1958.
- 3. Moscoso, C. G., The Puerto Rican Chironja-A New Type of Citrus, Trop. Prod. Inst., Proc., pp. 193-5, London, England, 1969.
- 4. Moscoso, C. G., Nueva Fruta que Posee Características Intermedias Entre la China y la Toronja, Revista del Café, September 1964.