## EVOLUTION OF EXTREMELY COMPLICATED SEX CHROMOSOME SYSTEMS IN BLAPS (COLEOPTERA)롤

Once turned to sex chromosomes, a pair of homologues is immediately on the way to mutual alienation: the $Y$ chromosome is confined to male, and crossing over must be excluded from the segments containing sex determinators. Heterochromatinisation, especially of $Y$, conducts the differentiation to such an extent that a conventional meiotic pairing of $X$ and $Y$ becomes impossible and must be substituted by some novel method. This is what has happened in the beetles, where the $X$ and $y$ associate by means of a nucleolus. ${ }^{2}$ The method is very ancient in Coleoptera, dating back to times preceding the division into Adephaga and Polyphaga. ${ }^{3}$ As a rule the far heterochromatinised $y$ is much smaller then the $X$, which causes profile views of the bivalent to resemble a parachute; hence the labelling $X y_{p}{ }^{4}$ ( $p$ from parachute). A competent and thus conservative device, $X y_{p}$ has survived innumerable processes of speciation during some 200 millions of years, and still is found in most families of beetles.

Any common nucleolus would not serve as a pairing device because it disintegrates during prophase. The essential feature of $X y_{p}$ nucleolus is its unusual persistence, which ensures the association until first anaphase of meiosis. There are indications of the persisting nucleolar component being deposited on the periphery of thesex nucleolus (blister hypothesis of $X y_{p}$ by Suomalainen, see Smith and Virkki ${ }^{6}$ ).
Blaps and Caenoblaps are tenebrionid genera in which the archaic $X y_{p}$ has not been detected. Instead, there are mixed association modes, both nucleolar and chiasmate association, usually in one and the same multivalent. This evolutionary series culminates in $B$. cribrosa, in which 50 percent of all chromosomes are sex chromosomes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8^{\mathrm{II}}+X^{1} X^{2} Y & : B . \text { judaeorum, southern } \text { race }^{6} \\
& \text { B. lusitanica }
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1}$ Manuscript submitted to Editorial Board September 28, 1973.
${ }^{2}$ John B., and Lewis, K. R., Nucleolar controlled segregation of the sex chromosomes in beetles, Heredity 15: 431-439, 1960. (Note that a small letter is used when emphasizing the small size of the sex chromosome; capitals are always used when speaking in general terms of the sex chromosomes.)
${ }^{3}$ Smith, S. G., The cytology of Sitophilus (Calandra) oryzae (L.), S. granarius (L.), and some other Rhynchophora (Coleoptera), Cytologia 17: 50-70, 1952.
${ }^{4}$ Smith, S. G., The cyto-taxonomy of Coleoptera, Canad. Entom. 82: 58-68, 1950.
${ }^{5}$ Smith, S. G., and Virkki, N., Coleoptera, in: B. John (Editor) : Animal Cytogenetics, Borntraeger, Berlin (in preparation).
${ }^{6}$ Read: "8 autosomal bivalents plus sex multiple $X^{1} X^{2} Y^{\prime \prime}$, and so on (Coleopteran karyotypes are usually written as metaphase I condition of male).-The list is taken from Smith, S. G., Chromosome numbers of Coleoptera, Heredity 7: 31-48, 1953;

| $\begin{gathered} 9^{\mathrm{II}}+X^{1} X^{2} Y \\ 15^{\mathrm{II}}+X^{1} X^{2} X^{8} Y \end{gathered}$ | : B. judaeorum, northern race |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | : B. waltli |
|  | B. sulcata |
| $15^{I I}+X^{1} X^{2} X^{3} X^{4} Y$ | : B. gigas |
|  | B. "lusitanica" ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | B. wiedemanni |
| $15^{1 I}+X^{1} X^{2} X^{3} X^{4} Y^{1} Y^{2}$ | : B. tenuicollis? |
| $16^{\text {II }}+X^{1} X^{2} Y$ | : Caenoblaps nitida |
| $16^{\text {II }}+X^{1} X^{2} X^{3} Y$ | : B. mucronata |
|  | B. mortisaga |
|  | B. tenuicollis? |
| $17^{\text {II }}+X^{1} X^{2} Y$ | : B. lethifera |
| $9^{\text {II }}+X^{1-12} Y^{1-6}$ | : B. cribrosa |

Attempts at explaining the evolution of such karyotypes meet serious difficulties. There is no doubt that the nucleolar component of these multiple associations is of parachute origin. A particular problem is that the number of $Y$ 's is always one (except for cribrosa and probably tenuicollis). Lewis and John ${ }^{8}$ published an ingenious hypothesis which copes successfully with this problem (fig. 1 (1-2). They proposed: 1, An interchange of short segments between $X_{p}$ and an autosome, which ensures a chiasmate chain association $X_{p}$-intact autosome (now neo $Y$ )-rearranged autosome (now neoX), the tiny $y_{p}$ was supposed to disappear as it does normally in derivative sex chromosome systems; 2, a progressive heterochromatinization rendering the neochromosomes capable of nucleolar association, thus both neoX and neo $Y$ will enter into the parachute leaving chiasmata obsolete; 3, a repeated incorporation of an autosome pair by similar translocation, this time with $n e o X_{p}$, whereby the former neo $Y$ gets lost. This gives the sex multivalent of $B$. mucronata they analysed. Mechanically speaking, this process could be repeated as long as autosomes are left. Each incorporation of an autosomal pair adds one $X$ to the sex system but does not increase the number of $Y$ 's.

This hypothesis is debatable on two grounds. First, the initial association requires two chiasmata in neo $Y$, but typical beetle chromosomes associate by only one chiasma, even if they are metacentric (due to diphaseness of
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Fig. 1.-Hypothesis of Lewis and John. 1, First autosomal incorporation and subsequent heterochromatinisation: Metacentric autosomes ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) $+X y_{p}$ produce an $X_{p} n e o X n e o ~ Y$, which, after heterochromatinisation ( $h$ ) and switch from chiasmate to nucleolar association produces an $X_{p} n e o X_{p} n e o Y_{p} ; y_{p}$ is supposed to disappear (brackets). 2, Second autosomal incorporation, this time via neo $X_{p}$, producing an $X_{p} n e o X_{p^{-}}^{1}$ $n e o X^{2}$ neo $Y^{2} ; n e o Y_{p}^{1}$ is supposed to disappear (brackets). After heterochromatinisation (h) and substitution of chiasmate association by a nucleolar one, an $X_{p} n e o X_{p}^{1} n e o X_{p^{-}}^{2}$ $n e o Y_{p}^{2}$ arises. 3, The alternative that neo $X$ associates nucleolarly by its $X$-segment: autosomes (A) plus $X y_{p}$ would produce an $X_{p} n e o X_{p} n e o Y,+y_{p}$ which supposedly dissppears (brackets). The end result $X_{p} n e o X_{p} n e o Y_{p}$, does not differ from that of alternative 1, but note that $X_{p} n e o X_{p} n e o ~ Y$ serves as a base from where the cribrosa complex may arise by multiplication of chromosomes.
the arms, Smith and Virkki. ${ }^{9}$ ) In Blaps, there are ring bivalents, however, so this argument is not very strong. Second, the translocate in neo $X$, derived from $X_{p}$, should associate with the parachute.

These arguments are eliminated if it is assumed that the incorporation of autosomes takes place via $y_{p}$, under a simultaneous elimination of a centric fragment (fig. 2). The $y_{p}$, usually minute in size and more or less dispensa-

[^1]ble genetically, has not been taken seriously as a possible point of anchorage for translocations. Such instances indeed were unknown until Lanier ${ }^{10}$ found one in Pityogenes fossifrons (Scolytidae), then de Vaio and Postiglioni $^{11}$ found another such instance in Botanochara angulata (Chrysomelidae). It was found more recently in the northern race of Blaps judaeorum. ${ }^{12}$ Thus, a firm factual basis exists for this evolutionary mechanism operating polyphyletically in the $X y_{p}$ beetles. Further advantages of this hypothesis are: 1, Favorite autosomal candidates for the translocation are the typical


Fic. 2.-The present hypothesis. 1, First autosomal incorporation through a Pit-translocation: Preferably diphasic autosomes (A ${ }^{1}$; wavy line: heterochromatic arms) plus $X y_{p}$ produce an $X_{p} n e o X n e o Y_{p}$, plus a centric fragment, $f$, which usually disappears (brackets). Heterochromatinisation ( $h$ ) and substitution of the chiasmate association by nucleolar one produces an $X_{p} n e o X_{p} n e o Y_{p}$. 2, Second Pit-translocation, onto neo $Y_{p}$, produces an $X_{p} n e o X_{p}^{1} n e o X^{2} n e o Y_{p}^{2}$, plus a centric fragment, $f$, which supposedly disappears (brackets). The final result is numerically the same as in Lewis and John hypothesis: $X_{p} n e o X_{p}^{1} n e o X_{p}^{2} n e o Y_{p}^{\mathbf{z}}$.
coleopteran diphasics as a piece lost from the heterochromatic arm is obviously genetically dispensable, also the initial association requires only one chiasma, in the euchromatic arm; 2, probable important genes of $Y$ ( $y_{p}$ as
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Fra. 3.-Simultaneous incorporation of two autosomes on the same $y_{p}$. The result is an $X_{p} n e o X^{a} n e o X^{b} n e o Y_{p}^{a b}$, as found in B. sulcata. Two centric fragments, $f^{1}$ and $f^{2}$, are formed and allegedly lost (brackets).


Fic. 4.-A translocation hypothesis for $B$. cribrosa. 1, the situation after the sixth successive translocation of the types shown in figure 1, provided that all neo $Y_{p}$ 's but not $y_{p}$, have survived: $X_{p}$ neo $X_{p}^{1-6} n e o Y_{p}^{1-6}$ neo $Y^{6}$. All 5 neo $Y_{p}$ 's are supposed to turn to $X$ chromosomes: neo $X_{p}^{7-11}$. Five simultaneous autosomal incorporations onto the neo $X_{p}^{7-11}$ would produce five centric fragments (not shown), plus the cribrosa complex, the structure of which would thus be $X_{p} n e o X_{p}^{1-6}$ neo-neo $X_{p}^{7-11}$ neo-neo $Y^{1-5} n e o Y^{6}$. The other, a more probable alternative is that the cribrosa complex arises from $X_{\mathrm{p}}$ neo$X_{p} n e o Y$ (fig. 1(3)) by a six-fold multiplication of the chromosomes.
well as derivative neo $Y_{p}$ 's) have a chance to survive as it is not necessary for this chromosome to vanish completely; and 3, through progressive heterochromatinisation and subsequent repetition of the same type of translocation, this model meets the same requirement as did the Lewis and John model in which each autosomal incorporation increases the number of $X$ chromosomes by one without altering the number of $Y$ 's.

Smith and Virkki ${ }^{18}$ call this incorporation a Pit-translocation (Pit for Pityogenes). Most sex multivalents of Blaps seem to result from one to three Pit-translocations (fig. 5).

[^3]|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Complications of the schema are:

1. B. sulcata and wiedemanni (figs. 3 and 5).-In these, there has been a practically simultaneous Pit-translocation on the same $Y$ chromosome. In wiedemanni, this has occurred after one simple Pit-translocation and subsequent heterochromatinisation of the neo-chromosomes.
2. B. tenuicollis (fig. 5).-Wahrman et al. ${ }^{14}$ left open the question whether this is a case of $X^{1} X^{2} X^{3} Y$ or $X^{1} X^{2} X^{8} X^{4} Y^{1} Y^{2}$, because they could not decide whether or not a heteromorphic bivalent always found close to the sex chromosomes really belongs to them. It seems conceivable that this "bivalent" is a recently incorporated autosomal pair now taking the position of neo $Y_{p}^{3} / n e o X^{3}$. The second $Y$ (neo $Y_{p}^{f} ; f$ for fragment) could be a surviving centric fragment. These fragments obviously are capable of parachute association by virtue of their $Y$-segment and they should survive if they happen to contain important genes. But they have disappeared in most cases.
3. B. cribrosa.-This is a puzzle. Before becoming aware of the recent findings of the Israeli team of Wahrman, Nezer and Freund, the author was seemingly successful in leading this complex from six repeated Pit-translocations, in which all centric fragments but the first (where $y_{p}$ participated) survived as nucleolus-associated neo $Y_{p}^{\prime}$ 's, and these neo $Y_{p}^{\prime}$ 's served as bases for five more practically simultaneous Pit-type translocations. Unfortunately, this interpretation was not correct. The Israeli team ${ }^{15}$ has shown convincingly by analysing the heterochromasy and arm relationships of the chromosomes involved, that all $X$ chromosomes are attached to the nucleolus. The metacentrics sticking out from it are the neo $Y$ 's. They tentatively suggest this complex arose from multiplication by six of the condition they found in the northern race of Blaps judaeorum. But this condition almost certainly is a $X_{p}$ neoXneo $Y_{p}$, the multiplication of which would lead to the erroneous structure proposed by the author.

There is an escape from this seeming dead-end if one assumes that cribrosa belongs to a different lineage of development, based on a translocation similar to the one proposed by Lewis and John, with the difference that the association to the parachute is by virtue of the $X_{p}$-segment carried by the neo $X$ rather than by a second chiasma of neo $Y$. In other words, the original formula would be $X_{p} n e o X_{p} n e o Y$ (fig. 1 (3)). An $X_{p}$ segment containing sex determinators immediately forces the autosome in question to an $X$ status. Competent sex multivalents of this type are not known but some abortive ones have been found. ${ }^{16}$

[^4]There now are two ways:

1. If one goes along with the Israeli workers who propose the partial multiplication of the sexual part of the karyotype, one gets the cribrosa structure as $6 X_{p} 6\left(n e o X_{p}\right) 6(n e o Y)$.
2. One also can speculate the repetition of the original translocation (repeatedly with $X_{p}$, or with neo $X_{p}$ 's) with successive heterochromatinisation and nucleolar association of the neo-chromosomes. After the sixth autosomal incorporation, the parachute would appear as in figure 4(1). It thus may be argued that the nucleolus-associated fully heterochromatinised neo $Y^{\prime}$ 's, devoid of sex determinators or any other important specific genes, have turned to $X$ chromosomes simply because the colossally boostered parachute blister ${ }^{17}$ does not permit them to separate from the $X$ 's but drags them behind still in anaphase $I$. This of course requires a change in the orientation pattern of the multivalent; the orientation of the device is supposed to derive from a somatogrammic order of the chromosomes at the beginning of the blister synthesis rather than from a prometaphasic collaboration of all centromeres involved. ${ }^{17}$ Assuming that five of the fully heterochromatic neoX ${ }_{p}$ 's (perhaps only the ex-neoY's) simultaneously incorporate five additional autosomal pairs, it would result again in the cribrosa multivalent. The formula for this would be $X_{p} n e o X_{p}^{1-6}$ neo-neo $X_{p}^{1-5}$ neo $Y^{1-6}$ (fig. 4(2)). The great difference between these alternatives is in the number of autosomes incorporated: 1 pair in the former, 11 pairs in the latter. Autosomal changes should thus give the key to the solution of the problem.

If one plausibly assumes that simplicity reflects a phylogenetically more primitive stage, the Blaps species with low chromosome numbers are rendered more primitive than those with high chromosome numbers. They also have more simple sex chromosome systems. The initial karyotype probably has been a primitive $9^{\text {II }}+X y_{p}$ of Pleocoma type ${ }^{18}$ : all autosomes metacentric, with euchromatic arms that form one chiasma each. It is just this kind of karyotype that has allegedly given rise to the adephagan karyotypes $18^{\text {II }}+X y$ through a complete series of centric fissions. ${ }^{19}$ Similarly, in Blaps, a trend of centric fissions seems to operate increasing the autosomal number to 18 pairs. Additional rearrangements may follow, especially pericentric inversions or accretion of heterochromatic second arms, that tend to mask Robertsonian relationships in Coleoptera. ${ }^{20}$ Peri-
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Fig. 6.-Probable interrelationships of the Blaps and Caenoblaps karyotypes. AF = autosomal centric fission(s); $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{X}=$ autosome-to- $X$ fusion; A-neo $Y=$ autosome-to-neo $Y$ fusion; Pit $=$ Pityogenes-type translocation: autosome-to- $y_{p} ;$ Pit ${ }^{2}=$ second Pit-translocation to the same $\psi_{p}$ or $n e o Y_{p}$.
centric inversions open the gate for further centric fissions; thus the increase of autosomal number can exceed 18 pairs without need for speculating on polyploidy as Lewis and John ${ }^{21}$ did.

Figure 6 suggests a general plan for evolution of the presently known Blaps karyotypes. It is not to be taken as a phylogenetic tree, it merely suggests the most probable evolutionary stages that can explain the contemporary karyotypes.

The first species cluster (I) evolved from the primitive $9^{\text {II }}+X y_{p}$ stage directly by a Pit-translocation (lusitanica), or after one autosomal centric fission: the $10^{\text {II }}+X y_{p}$ stage. From this, one Pit-translocation leads to judaeorum/northern, whereas the other lineage follows the more conventional way of neo $X Y$-formation followed by a translocation between an autosome and neo $Y$ : judaeorum/southern. As is to be expected, this multiple is purely chiasmate, and nucleolus is not needed. Both races of judaeorum still have most of their autosomes as euchromatic, monophasic metacentrics. ${ }^{22}$

The cluster II developed from $17^{1 I}+X y_{p}$ stage through two repeated Pit-translocations which were nearly simultaneous for sulcata. The position of Caenoblaps nitida is uncertain due to scanty information on the association mode of the multivalent.

Cluster III, the largest, starts from the fully fissioned karyotype $18^{\text {II }}+$ $X y_{p}$ suggesting a chiasmate alternative for the sex multiple of Caenoblaps nitida, a translocation history paralleling that of judaeorum/southern. In addition, there is a history of three successive Pit-translocations the last pair of which is double for wiedemanni, while tenuicollis appears in two sites due to the uncertainty of the karyotype structure.

Now arises this puzzle: If one insists on accommodating cribrosa in this general plan of fissions and translocations, the initial karyotype should have had 20 pairs of autosomes. As just mentioned, this number can be derived by fissions and additional rearrangements from 9 pairs, but one would not expect monophasic, euchromatic metacentrics among the autosomes thus arisen. As several of such autosomes still seem to occur in the cribrosa karyotype, ${ }^{23}$ the partial polyploidy hypothesis of the Israeli workers gains in creditability.

Niilo Virkki
Department of Genetics
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